Education Governance Responses Newport Meeting (3/12/07) - North Country Union High School

29 Attendees (facilitated by Robin Scheu)

Question #1: What are the advantages and disadvantages of the present education governance system in your community?:

Advantages

Sense of community

Participation

Support

Local control

Local response

Local sensitivity

Community involvement

Empowerment

Stronger voice

Accessibility

Strong student involvement

Funding

Local control/context

Travel

Ownership at local level

Sense of community

SU tied together by roads

Greater support for town budgets

Local choice for board, administrators and teachers

More direct contact with school personnel – accountability

More direct parental involve

Students identify with town

Citizens/parents have greater chance to be heard

Disadvantages

Cost and cooperation

Lack to access of resources

Economy of scale

Lack of continuity

Distribution of board talent

Administrative costs

SU too large

Too many board members

Geographically too large

Smaller communities have less input

Coordination of curriculum

Boards may choose different programs/texts/curric./etc

Not much citizen participation in board activities – unless there is a "crisis"

1

Some on board have an "axe to grind" and greater power

Political coalitions give advantage to larger towns

Question #2: What are the advantages and disadvantages of the school district model suggested by Commissioner Cate in his White Paper?

Advantages

Better for the Department of Education

Better for superintendent

Efficient

Concentration of board talents

Top down design

Teacher/staff mobility

Eliminates Act 60/68 issues

Less meetings for superintendent

Broader curriculum

Increased access to resources

Equality

More efficiency

Administrators spend less time at meetings and more time tending to responsibilities

Empower superintendents to deal with issues

Uniformity to support staff

Bulk buying (heating oil, supplies, food, transportation)

Disadvantages

Lack or reduced community ownership

Lack of community control of administration/staff

"The 1" Board would turn into full time position (committee work, knowledge of system)

Potential of imbalance of power

Combined with school choice would speed up consolidation based on perceived economy scale

No bottom up hierarchy

Passing budgets

Erodes town meeting tradition

Regional control trumps local control

Creates haves/have nots

Taxation issues

Only superintendent would hire principals

Harder for citizens to participate

Ownership of schools unclear

"Voice" is diluted

Geographically too large

Transportation costs

Cost uncontrollable

How would budgets be decided?

Loss of lack of community

Lack of support

Fear of school closing

Less personal contact

Fear of smaller schools not being heard

Too much power to majority – minority overlooked

The more parental/community involvement is removed, the students become anonymous

Remove power from local school boards regarding hiring/firing

Flexibility of support and staff lost Loss of control over local town budgets

Debrief Comments:

Exploding mission of the school

Cost of mandates

Shrinking middle class

Lack of opportunities

From superintendent position current is chaotic

Would budgets pass? Would budgets pass more easily?

Loss of closeness impact quality neg? Impact quality pos? Impact quality at all?

SU model is not the answer

More centralized spec ed

Incentives for quality education at lower cost

State take over special ed.costs

Family to take greater responsibility

K.I.S.S.

Reduce to 30 school districts

Shift more special ed costs to state

Shift health care costs to state

More training for new board set up

Professional board members

Fine tune current model

(all brainstorms are valid)

Trouble understanding how changes to ed. Governance would affect ed.quality – what is the correlation?

Would new districts follow current su boundaries?

Evening opened up issues not only of governance but also taxation issues

Observation that resources (example: guidance) aren't distributed equitably; governance change might alleviate inequities

Students are our main concern – what does this do for children?

Needs of elementary/middle students are different than those of secondary students. Maybe need two boards (?)

State perspective – Vermont does really well with its per pupil (compared to other states)

Invitation to House Ed committee meeting re: bill that includes governance